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Religion, spirituality, and mental health: In a nutshell...

- In general, identifying as religious/spiritual is associated with better psychological adjustment and physical health outcomes\(^1\)

- Effect sizes in meta-analyses tend to be small (\(\sim .10\))\(^2\) … why?

- The picture isn’t complete without acknowledging that people experience and utilise their faith in ways that lead to both positive and negative outcomes

- Research on religious/spiritual coping amongst older adults is consistent with this\(^3\)
The study

- Two primary research questions:
  1) To what extent do New Zealand clients perceive that religion/spirituality is [satisfactorily] considered in their care?
  2) What factors predict whether religion/spirituality is considered [in a satisfactory manner]?

- 725 mental health clients identifying as religious/spiritual

- Recruited from public advertising: a range of services

- From New Zealand (454), United States (112), England (57), Australia (71) and elsewhere (31)

- Questionnaire covering participants’ experiences of religion/spirituality being considered in their care

- Mostly female, Caucasian, Christian

- 7 NZ participants were 65 or older (14 international sample, similar results)
Considering religion/spirituality in mental health care... why bother?

- Consideration = “To think carefully about how R/S might be relevant to one’s work with a client, and to take necessary action”.

- Religion/spirituality is sometimes relevant to the outcomes of clients we see in mental health care.

- Many religious/spiritual clients:
  1) Utilise their faith in their efforts to recover from mental health difficulties$^4$
  2) Would like mental health practitioners to consider their beliefs (includes those in NZ – 77%)$^5$
“My spiritual and religious beliefs are such a big part of my life and who I am. It is really important to me that my mental health practitioner take them into account. I have however, been to practitioners that have not done so and, after weeks of therapy, walked away feeling dissatisfied and misunderstood.”

However, this is not important to all clients...

“I feel that my beliefs are mine alone and are of no concern to anybody else.”
So, how are we doing?

- Should we believe conjecture that clients’ religion and spirituality are neglected and minimised by mental health practitioners?

- New Zealand research already conducted:
  - Chris Perkin’s file review\(^6\): 13/30 mentioned R/S (intake form), 0/23 mentioned R/S in care plan
  - First (and only?) mental health client-perspective study: de Beer’s 1998 dissertation\(^8\). 11% (of 43) asked about R/S
From the 352 who stated it was important for their practitioner to consider R/S...

Discussion of religion/spirituality

- Discussed*
- Did not discuss

= 258 participants
How many perceived their beliefs were considered in their care, and were satisfied with the way this occurred?

Adjusted for gender and ethnicity
Older adults (≥65): Discussed = 100%

*Significant difference between sectors: 69% (public) vs. 79% (private) (unadjusted)
Findings

‘Consideration important’ clients who discussed R/S (258)...

Adjusted for gender and ethnicity

*Significant difference between sectors (Satisfied; Discussed & Satisfied)

Older adults (≥65): Considered = 50%  Satisfied = 60%, D&S = 60%
Conclusions

- Future research with a larger group of older adults?
- In general, findings are not as ‘dire’ as stated in the literature
- Important to consider what mental health practitioners do well
- Is it okay to stop there? Not likely.
- Overall conclusion of the thesis:

  “Strive to be aware, to understand, and to take collaborative action”


References


References
